



An Adaptation of Bayesian Theorem for Passenger Destination Estimation

승객 목적지 추정을 위한 베이지안 이론 적용

Sanghyuck Lee

tkdgur658@gmail.com

2021. 01. 13.

Outline

- Introduction
- Related Works
- Proposed Method
- Further Research

Introduction

- **Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS)** is an innovative idea in the field of transportation and the transit **Origin-Destination matrix (OD matrix)** is an essential input for some important ITS applications
- The powerful source data for generating the OD matrix is **Automated Fare Collection (AFC)** data. However, many AFC systems around the world are **entry-only systems** and do **NOT include destination information** so that essentially we have to go through the **Passenger Destination Estimation (PDE)** process.
 - Moreover, in the real world, even within the datasets of AFC system that contains alighting information, some of them is often omitted due to reasons such as passengers leaving without tagging.
- Therefore, **improving the PDE algorithm** based on AFC data can be a great contribution to the entire ITS field.

Related Works

Barry et al. (2002), using **two assumptions**, proposed the **Trip-Chain method** for PDE. Then, several subsequent researchers, based on these **two assumption**, studied PDE (Zhao and Jinhua, 2004).

However, these past studies are mainly based on data from **entry-only AFC systems**, so it has been pointed out that **verification is necessary** and based on AFC data including **both boarding and alighting information**, some studies for **validating the algorithms** based on entry-only AFC data (Alsger et al., 2016).

Card ID	Card style	Route	Stop	Veh. ID	Time	Date	Fare
-1760518818	Monthly card	115	156	2798	06:27:16	2009-07-07	-
-1760518818	Monthly card	115	3	2346	18:47:21	2009-07-07	-
-1760517522	Common card	115	19	2810	08:34:53	2009-07-07	0.9
-1760517522	Common card	115	151	2808	17:47:31	2009-07-07	0.9
-1760515746	Monthly card	115	133	2794	11:56:22	2009-07-07	-
-1760515746	Monthly card	115	133	2814	18:52:29	2009-07-07	-
-1760515714	Monthly card	115	23	2794	17:32:51	2009-07-07	-

AFC data NOT including alighting information

Transaction Number	Boarding Time (yyyy/mm/dd/hh/mm/ss)	ID of Boarding Location	Alighting Time (yyyy/mm/dd/hh/mm/ss)	ID of Alighting Location
1	20150318092455	4196066	20150318092714	4196117
2	20150318214734	4196061	20150318215035	4196065
3	20150318225205	12174	20150318225855	8001017
4	20150318075726	70647	20150318082805	10585
5	20150318070948	216	20150318084718	4101317
...	...	...	...	...

AFC data including alighting information

Related Works

Moreover, recently, some studies based on **Machine Learning** methods, including Deep learning approaches, have been conducted:

Jung et al. (2017) first proposed a PDE approach using a deep learning approach.

Assemi et al. (2020) constructed a deep learning structure with the Trip-Chain method.

Yan et al. (2019) used Trip-Chain method as the first step and 6 Machine Learning model as the second step.

Cheng et al. (2020) proposed a three-dimensional latent Dirichlet allocation model to extract latent topics of each trips.

Shi et al. (2017) applied Trip-Chain method first, and then used semi-supervised self-training model for PDE.

=> The same **limitation** can be pointed out: Low explanatory power

Related Works

	Approach	Limitation
Jung et al. (2017)	Trial and error experimenting with as many models as possible.	Difficult to present specific directions for further performance improvement.
Assemi et al. (2020)	Setting candidate stations for output nodes.	In the probability allocation process, hard to find much discussion.
Yan et al. (2019)	Simply looks at the performance of traditional machine learning models.	Hard to explain the influence of each condition.
Cheng et al. (2020) & Shi et al. (2017)	Using only the boarding stop and time mainly.	Only interpretation and explanation of those two conditions are possible.

=> These approaches can certainly boost PDE performance in the short term, but clearly **more descriptive designs** are required to consistently develop better approaches.

Proposed Method

An Adaptation of Bayesian Theorem for Passenger Destination Estimation

- Motivation:
 - A system that can access not only boarding but also **alighting information** has been developed, so that the **posterior probability** can be calculated through Bayesian theory, which shows successful results in many inference problems.

$$p(y|x) = \frac{p(x|y) p(y)}{p(x)} \quad \longrightarrow \quad p(s|c) = \frac{p(c|s) p(s)}{p(c)} \quad (1)$$

Terms	Meaning
$\mathcal{C}$	The set of conditions for determining passenger destinations, $\mathcal{C} = \{c_1, \dots, c_n\}$, where n is the number of all the conditions.
$\mathcal{S}$	The set of destination candidate stations, $\mathcal{S} = \{s_1, \dots, s_m\}$, where m is the number of all the transit station.

Proposed Method

$$p(s|c) = \frac{p(c|s) p(s)}{p(c)} = \frac{p(c, s)}{p(c)} \quad (1)$$

$$s^* = \operatorname{argmax}_{s \in S} p(s|c) = \operatorname{argmax}_{s \in S} \frac{p(c, s)}{p(c)} \quad (2)$$

$$= \operatorname{argmax}_{s \in S} p(c, s) = \operatorname{argmax}_{s \in S} p(c_1, \dots, c_n, s) \quad (3)$$

Using chain rule:

$$\begin{aligned} & p(c_1, \dots, c_n, s) \\ &= p(s) p(c_1|s) p(c_2, \dots, c_n | s, c_1) \\ &= p(s) p(c_1|s) p(c_2|s) p(c_3, \dots, c_n | s, c_1, c_2) \\ &= p(s) p(c_1|s) p(c_2|s) \cdots p(c_n | s, c_1, c_2, c_3, \dots, c_{n-1}) \end{aligned}$$

Adapt Naïve assumption:

$$\begin{aligned} &= p(s) p(c_1|s) p(c_2|s) p(c_3|s) \cdots \\ &= p(s) \prod_{i=1}^n p(c_i|s) \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Proposed Method

By (3) and (4):

$$\begin{aligned} s^* &= \operatorname{argmax}_{s \in S} p(s|c) \\ &= \operatorname{argmax}_{s \in S} p(s) \prod_{i=1}^n p(c_i|s) \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Proposed Method

- Some essential conditions:
 - Boarding time: Many PDE researchers have used boarding time information to infer destinations.
 - Boarding station: Many PDE researchers have attempted to infer destinations using boarding station information.

Proposed Method

- Boarding time t :

1) $c = t, p(t|s)$

- non-parametric estimation, Ex) Kernel density estimation

2) $c = f(t), p(f(t)|s)$

- Highlight a specific time zone, Ex) Rush hour
- Time relationship with the next transaction

Proposed Method

- Boarding station b :

1) $c = b, p(b|s)$

- Exploratory Data Analysis

2) $c = f(b',s), p(f(b',s)|s)$

- b' is the next boarding and $f(b')$ is whether s is equal to b' .

3) $c = d, p(d|s)$

- d is distance between the candidate and next transaction

4) Consider the application conditions used with the time condition t .

Proposed Method

- Some issues
 - Posterior probability with AFC dataset
 - Difficult to apply directly with entry-only AFC dataset.
 - Reconstruct conditions with AFC dataset
 - AFC datasets have different station labels for each region.

Appendix

Preliminary: a simple PDE example

- Use 2 simple assumptions:
 - 1) The destination of all the trips is the origin of the next trip.
 - 2) The last destination is the first origin.

Terms	Meaning
X	The AFC dataset consisting of card ID, boarding station and boarding time, $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$, $x = (x^c, x^s, x^t)$, where n is the number of all the transactions.
S	The set of all the transit station in X , $S = \{s_1, \dots, s_m\}$, where m is the number of different transit station in X .
C	The set of all the unique card ID in X , $C = \{c_1, \dots, c_l\}$, where l is the number of unique card ID in X .
x^c, x^s, x^t	Card ID, boarding station and boarding time of the transaction x respectively, $x^c \in C$, $x^s \in S$, $x^t = [0, 1440]$.
T	The set of all the trips that should be estimated from X , $T = \{t_1, \dots, t_n\}$, $t = (o, d)$.
o	The origin of the trip that should be estimated at t of T .
d	The destination of the trip that should be estimated at t of T .
X^c	The subset with x 's in X that have the same card ID c , $X^c = \{x x^c = c\}$.
T^c	The set of the trips that will be estimated from X^c , that should consist of trips derived from the single card ID.

Preliminary: a simple PDE example

Algorithm 1 A simple PDE algorithm			Meaning
Input: X, S ;		X	The AFC dataset consisting, $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$, $x = (x^c, x^s, x^t)$.
Output: T ;		S	The set of all the transit station in X , $S = \{s_1, \dots, s_m\}$, where m is the number of different transit station in X .
1: Initializing $T = \{\}$;		C	The set of all the unique card ID in X , $C = \{c_1, \dots, c_l\}$, where l is the number of unique card ID in X .
2: Generate C containing unique card ID from X ;		x^c	Card ID of x , $x^c \in C$.
3: for c in C do		x^s	boarding station of x , $x^s \in S$.
4: $T^c = \text{Estimate}(X^c)$;		x^t	boarding time of x , $x^t = [0, 1440]$.
5: $\text{concat}(T, T^c)$;		T	The set of all the trips that should be estimated from X , $T = \{t_1, \dots, t_n\}$, $t = (o, d)$.
6: end for		o	The origin of the trip
7: return T		d	The destination of the trip
Algorithm 2 Procedure of the Estimate function		X^c	The same card ID subset of X , $X^c = \{x x^c = c\}$.
Input: X^c ;		T^c	The set of the same card ID trips that will be estimated from X^c .
Output: T^c ;			
1: Ascend X^c according to boarding time x^t ;			
2: for ($i = 1$; $i < X^c $; $i++$) do			
3: $o_i \leftarrow x_i^s$; $d_i \leftarrow x_{i+1}^s$;			
4: end for			
5: $o_{ D^c } \leftarrow x_{ D^c }^s$; $d_{ D^c } \leftarrow x_1^s$;			
6: return T^c			